532

THE ACQUISITION OF CUBA.

SPEECH

44

OF

HON. JOHN P. HALE,

OF NEW HAMPSHIRE.

Delivered in the United States Senate, February 15, 1859.

WASHINGTON, D. C.
BUELL & BLANCHARD, PRINTERS.
1859.

A CONTRACTOR SECTION FOR A STATE

12 1 1 1 3 4 5

011

TAME DAY IN A STATE OF THE STAT

SPEECH MR. HALE. OF

I have read, Mr. President, with some care, the report of the Committee on Foreign Relations recommending this measure, and I have also read the message of the President of the United States, and I will endeavor, as well as I may, to present very briefly what I think to be the argument of the committee. They start out, not with attempting to prove, but with assuming, that the acquisition of Cuba is a matter of great importance; and they say that to undertake to prove that it is of importance, would be "as much a work of supererogation as to demonstrate an elementary problem in mathematics, or one of those axioms of ethics or philosophy which have been universally received for ages;" and, further, "that the acquisition of Cuba may be considered a fixed purpose of the people of the United States, a purpose resulting from political and geographical necessities."

I think I understand something of the doctrine of necessity-I mean political necessity. It has been characterized in all time as the plea of tyrants. Geographical necessity I do not understand so well; but I may be permitted to say, at the outset, that I do not think tropes and figures are always the best arguments. In some of the arguments which have been adduced for the acquisition of Cuba outside of the report of the committee, political gravitation has been brought in to work with political necessity and geographical necessity, and it is said that Cuba is gravitating to us. I think the Senator from Georgia [Mr. Toombs] would have us infer that this gravitation began a great while ago, and that the velocity has been increasing in proportion to the squares of the distances, until it has got now so great that it can hardly be resisted.

Sir, it will be a curious subject for some of those political philosophers to inquire why it is that this law of gravitation, which we have ordinarily been taught to consider as universal,

operating alike upon all particles of matter, in all conditions, does not operate upon some of the adjacent islands; why, stretching itself out, it has not affected Yucatan. How, in the name of gravitation, is it, that every one of the British islands, great or small, has not been affected by this magnetic influence? Why is it that this political gravitation uniformly affects the possessions of weak and feeble Powers? Gravitation, we have not ordinarily supposed, was subject to such considerations.

But the great argument of the Committee on Foreign Relations is, that it is a very convenient island; that it will be very desirable for us to possess it. I can understand that argument. That is an argument which is not ordinarily used in legislative assemblies, but in very different places, and under very different circumstances; it may be characterized—I do not know how to characterize these doctrines independently of their authors, but I mean to do it; I mean to speak of doctrines-it is the doctrine of the highway; it is the doctrine of power and of might; it looks upon a thing, and says it is desirable, and therefore we ought to

have it, and we will have it.

But the Committee on Foreign Relations go on further. They undertake to prove, and I think they do prove, pretty conclusively, that we not only want it, but that we have been wanting it for a long time past. I agree to that. If you will show me a place on God's earth that power and avarice lust for to-day, I will show you a place that power and avarice lusted for years ago, and still I shall have done little or nothing to demonstrate the morality or the justice of the feeling that is entertained. I wish, however, to examine, a little in detail, the proofs that are arrayed by the Committee on Foreign Relations, to show that this has been so desirable. These proofs are to be found in the writings of Mr. Jefferson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Buchanan, Mr. Alexander Everett, Mr. Edward Everett, Mr.

John Quincy Adams, Mr. Van Buren, and Mr. Marcy. I concede, for the sake of the argument, that all these gentlemen have lusted after Cuba; but I think, so far as the authority of Mr. Jefferson is concerned, he goes against the acquisition; for Mr. Jefferson, in the writing quoted by the committee in their report, says nothing should ever be accepted by us which would require a navy to defend it. That is Jefferson's opinion. I apprehend, to-day, there is no man in this Senate, no man in this Congress, no man in this country, whose opinion is worth anything, who will tell you that Cuba can be defended by sea without a navy.

Mr. MALLORY. I do not wish to interrupt my friend from New Hampshire, but he is quoting Jefferson's opinion upon this point; and in that very letter from which he is quoting, Jefferson says Cuba can be defended without a navy, and that is the reason he recom-

mends it.

Mr. HALE. Mr. Jefferson says that nothing should be ever accepted by us which could not be defended without a navy; and it is true, as the Senator from Florida says, that in the same letter Mr. Jefferson expresses the opinion that Cuba could be defended without a navy. Well, sir, as I said yesterday, I do not believe in the infallibility of the Senate, nor do I believe in the infallibility of Mr. Jefferson. Mr. Jefferson had a natural and innate and an undying hatred of a navy; and I suppose that the great reason of his hatred to the navy was, that it was the pet child of the old Federal party. It was the great bone of contention between the parties of that day; and if there was one thing Mr. Jefferson abominated, it was a navy. know, Mr. President, that one of the first measures of his Administration, when he came into power, was to undertake to substitute gun-boats for vessels of war, which had been introduced by the Federal party. But Mr. Jefferson, when he expressed the opinion that Cuba could be defended without a navy, was entirely ignorant, for the thing had not existed, of the creation of a great steam marine. If Mr. Jefferson were alive to-day, I apprehend that he, no more than any other sensible man, would venture the opinion that, at the present time, with the present state of the naval affairs of the world, Cuba could be defended without a navy, and without a large navy.

Mr. John Quincy Adams, who is also quoted upon this subject, speaking of this matter, says:

"Numerous and formidable objections to the extension of our territorial dominions beyond 'the sea, present themselves to the first con-

'templation of the subject."

Sir, those objections to extending our territorial possessions beyond the seas, which, upon the first blush of this matter, suggested themselves to Mr. Adams, exist to-day; and if you will go on and read every one of the opinions of the earlier statesmen of this country, such as of the earlier statesmen of this country, such as it—it is inevitable—but they go on to fortify the Mr. Jefferson, Mr. Clay, Mr. John Quincy Ad- justice and the propriety of our taking her, by

ams, Mr. Alexander Everett, and Mr. Edward Everett, I think you will find every one of their statements qualified, and they express the idea that, with the present condition of things, as they existed at the time they wrote their let-ters, they were content to let Spain occupy Cuba as she did. Mr. Edward Everett delivers himself upon this subject-and I think he will obtain vastly more credit and more honor by it than he will by going about to collect funds to buy Mount Vernon-as follows:

"A respectful sympathy with the fortunes of 'an ancient ally and a gallant people, with whom the United States have ever maintained the most friendly relations, would, if no other reason existed, make it our duty to leave her in the undisturbed possession of this little remnant of her mighty transatlantic Empire. 'The President desires to do so. No word or deed of his will ever question her title or 'shake her possession."

That was the statement of Mr. Everett upon this subject; and you will find, I think, the sentiments of every one of those gentlemen who are relied upon to prove that the acquisition of Cuba would be desirable, thus qualified. But, sir, I do not meet that argument; I give up; we want Cuba. I mean, that is the purpose of the national will. We desire it, and we have desired it a long time. We have desired it anxiously and earnestly. We desire it because it is rich. It is rich in the natural productions of the earth, rich in its commerce, and in every way desirable for us to possess, if we could get it honorably; and if this proves anything of the justice or the rightfulness or expediency of taking it, it is an argument that might be made.

The Committee on Foreign Relations go on and argue this question at some considerable length. They say, in their report, that there are three alternatives for Cuba: first, her possession by a foreign Power, which we would never submit to; secondly, her independence, which is impossible; and, thirdly, her acquisition by us, which is inevitable. Well, sir, those are curious alternatives. One never can be, the other never shall be, and the third is inevitable; and we are called upon to-day to approprlate \$30,000,000 to bring about an inevitable consequence. I think it was stated by a Senator sitting on the other side of the Chamber, in reference to manifest destiny, "manifest destiny will take care of herself." She wants none of our puny and feeble aid; and I think, in the present state of the Federal Treasury, it is a wasteful and extravagant appropriation of money, to appropriate, either actually or prospectively, \$30,000,000, to bring about an inevitable consequence. That is the ground on which the committee place it.

The committee not only prove that we have always wanted Cuba, and cannot help taking

the example of some of the other nations of the

The report says:

"Let England pursue her march of conquest 'and annexation in India, France extend her dominions on the southern shores of the Med-'iterranean, and advance her frontiers to the 'Rhine, or Russia subjugate her barbarous 'neighbors in Asia; we shall look upon their ' progress, if not with favor, at least with indif-

Yes, sir, let Great Britain carry on her schemes of conquest; let her annex kingdom after kingdom in India; we look on, "if not with favor, at least with indifference." Let France extend her dominions; let her pursue and carry on her schemes of conquest in Algeria; we will not have a word to say there. Let Russia pursue unchecked her career of conquest upon the Caucasus; let her anticipate the time when that "sick man" in Constantinople will die, and seize upon Constantinople, and command the Mediterranean; we will look on, "if not with favor, at least with indifference."

"We claim on this hemisphere"-here is the ground on which the claim is put-"the same privilege that they exercise on the other."

Then there is a beautiful line in Latin poetry.

I will endeavor to construe it:

"Hanc veniam petimusque damusque vicissim."

Sir, whenever I hear a judge in court give an opinion in Latin, I generally conclude that he is about to announce some infernal doctrine that he is ashamed to speak in English. [Laughter.

"Hanc veniam petimusque damusque vicissim."

Now, I will tell you what the petimusque and the damusque are in this case. Damusque, we give—we give to England liberty to extend her wars of conquest and aggression in India, and swallow it all up; we look on, if not with favor, certainly with indifference. Damusque—take it. France, take what you want anywhere; go on with your conquests, not only where you please, but as you please-damusque, we give up that. Russia, go establish yourself on the Mediterranean and the Bosphorus; if the "sick man" does not die quite soon enough for you, smother him between the pillows—damusque; [laughter;] if we do not look on with favor, we do with indifference. This, sir, is an uncharitable world; it is an ungrateful world; but will England and France and Russia be so ungrateful and ungracious, if we have given up to them so much, if in the scale of damus we have thrown such tremendous weights, as not to allow us to take Cuba? Now listen to the petimus. We want to do a little robbing on our own account. Here is a rich, beautiful island, lying close to our shores; its political gravitation is so great that we have hardly virtue to keep it off; it is ready to fall into our hands—

petimus. [Laughter.] Stand by while we take that—hanc veniam. That is all the petimus we ask of the world, and this is the argument,

stripped of its poetry, and translated into plain English.

But there is another trope, and the report does great credit to the committee, and that is one originally, I believe, introduced by Mr. Adams, and incorporated by the committee in

their report. They say:

"If an apple, severed by the tempest from its native tree, cannot choose but fall to the ground, Cuba, forcibly disjointed from its own unnatural connection with Spain, and incapable of self-support, can gravitate only towards the North American Union, which, by 'the same law of nature, cannot cast her off 'from its bosom."

It is inevitable. I do not think the poetry We have not to use petimus to what is inevitable, and will come whether we will or Now, sir, this argument of the apple is a beautiful one. It is one that boys can understand; for we can remember when we looked upon ripe apples hanging upon the trees, and we have all of us deplored the waste that was accruing, if the ripe apples should fall to the ground and rot upon the soil; and, sometimes, I am not certain but some of us, lest such an extravagance as that should happen, and the apple should grow ripe and fall when we were not by to catch it, have used a club to hasten this process of nature, and knocked the apple off before it got quite ripe. [Laughter.] But the committee say the apple is absolutely Now, I am not certain that this judgment may not have been formed a little by the hankering appetite of the committee for ripe apples, instead of a precise knowledge of what the state of the fruit is. [Laughter.]

These, I think, are the arguments that have been adduced by the committee, in prose and in poetry. It is desirable; it is a rich island; we want it now, and you cannot prove that there ever was a time when we did not want it; we want it very much, and are determined to have it; we cannot help it; this is the only alternative there is for us—to take it. We will let France, Russia, and England, take all the world—damusque—if they will only allow us to have this *petimus*—to do what we cannot help doing. Now, sir, cannot we reason with the rest of the world, that they are acting un-

reasonably?

Having fairly stated and answered, as well as I could, (for I am not acquainted with these tropes,) the argument of the committee, I will now proceed to answer some of the positions assumed by the honorable Senator from Louisiana, [Mr. Benjamin.] By a remarkable coincidence, it turns out that this knowledge of the political necessity and the geographical necessity, and the argument growing out of ripe fruit, and everything of that sort, seems to be better appreciated by the Senators from Louisiana than by Senators from any other part of the United States. I do not know that it is strange that it does. The magnetic influence

of that political gravitation which has been going on will be more likely to be felt in that part of the coast nearest the magnet, than it would in the more remote parts. But, sir, there is one portion of the argument of the Senator from Louisiana which, I doubt not, he thinks I cannot answer, which he considers it is folly to attempt to answer, which I will now undertake I shall not undertake to controvert to answer. one single word that he said about the coolie If he has found a system of human slavery on the face of earth worse, and greatly worse, than any that he has seen on our continent, I am perfectly willing that he may expend his sympathies and his philosophy upon I shall not undertake to controvert it. I yield to him for the sake of the argument, though I do not profess to be instructed in the matter, that so far as his censures have fallen upon the coolie trade, they are just. I will not undertake to controvert them. So far as his censures have fallen upon the trade carried on in African apprentices, I will not controvert one word of them.

But, sir, when the Senator stands up here in the Senate, and undertakes to assume, as he did, that the experiment of emancipation tried by the British Government in their islands, and the abolition of domestic slavery, has been such a total failure that it is admitted and acknowledged by everybody to be such, and is treated as such at the present day, I beg leave to say to that honorable Senator, to the Senate, and to the country, the evidence upon that subject is not all one way. I admit, further, for the sake of the argument, that the experiment of the emancipation of slaves in the British West Indies has not been favorable to the cultivation of the sugar estates. If there was no other destiny to be developed in the future, if there was no other lot that was desirable for mankind than to be converted into sugar planters, I would yield him the argument; but, in my own State, in the whole of the six New England States, in Pennsylvania, and in New York, I believe there is not a single sugar planter; and yet we think that we have, in some tolerable degree, answered the great purposes of a Christian civilization in those communities; we be-lieve that, upon the whole, we are not a whit lower in the scale of morals, of intellect, of mechanical arts, of industry, and of everything which elevates and refines and advances human society, than any of the sugar-growing States. I will not trespass upon that by alleging any superiority. It is enough for the argument that we do not conceive that we are inferior, notwithstanding we have not a sugar planter among us.

But, sir, aside from the fact that the cultivation of sugar may have suffered somewhat considerably in the British West India islands, where this great experiment of emancipation has been tried, that beyond that it has been a failure I utterly deny, and I do not stand here to speak without the book upon that subject. I hold in my hand a report made to the British House of Commons in 1857, entitled "Accounts and Papers." The first piece of evidence to which I desire to call the attention of the Senate is a copy of a dispatch from Governor F. Murray to the Right Honorable H. Labouchere, dated "Bermuda, June 18, 1856." I think the Senator will find that every authority which I quote to him is older and later than those which he gave to the Senate in the remarks which he submitted. Governor Murray, in his report, says:

"Sir: I have the honor to transmit the Blue

'Book for the year 1855.

"I am happy to report that the general state and prosperity of the colony is satisfactory; 'that is strongly exemplified by the fact, (as you will perceive by the tabular returns,) that the exports have increased in value, when compared with last year, from £25,563 to £41,420, and that the imports have increased from £120,389 to £162,556. To this sum must be added imports to the value of £9,332 for the use of her Majesty's military and naval forces, and that of the convict establishment, making the total imports £171,888. There has also been an increase in the revenue, notwithstanding that the ad valorem per centage duty on imports had been reduced one per cent. Agricultural and commercial enterprise are 'steadily augmenting, and improved habits of 'industry are observable among the people generally."

That is the Bermudas. I next read from a report made from Lieutenant Governor Shortland to Governor Hincks, dated the 16th day of June, 1856, and written from Tobago.

says, in this report:
"Your Excellency submits to me the ques-'tion relative to the employment of the negro population not engaged in the culture of sugar—'whether they are industrious, or, as represented to be the case in some colonies, abandoned to slothful habits, and content with the mere necessaries of life.' The negroes of this island cannot be termed slothful or abandoned; they bear the character of being orderly and well-disposed, and, I believe, deservedly The black and colored classes have acquired a considerable amount of property. Many have raised themselves to the position of lessees of sugar estates, and a spirit of em-'ulation seems to be on the increase. aware of offers having been made to proprictors by the negroes to rent estates, and to repair the works at their own cost; indeed, in one instance, three negroes have taken the lease of an abandoned sugar works, and have repaired both the mill and the boiling-house. Several other instances might be adduced of equal enterprise. I am not, however, prepared 'to say that the negro, as a class, can be called 'industrious, in the true sense attached to the 'word. He is not fond of continuous labor."

I would add, he is not singular:

"But, when working for himself, taking the average of a year, he performs a considerable quantity of work. When working for hire, he gives his employers the smallest quantity he finds will be submitted to. The struggle, too, never ceases; he must be constantly urged forward, or he would fail to work. Nevertheless, I am induced to extend to him a decided preference over all other laborers for general purposes in a tropical climate."

I next read from the report of the Colonial Secretary to Lieutenant Governor Shortland, ated June 5, 1856, and some memoranda atached thereto, I believe, by the stipendiary agistrate. Speaking still of the island of

'obago, he says:

"The fact that a great majority of the negro population—whether plantation laborers or otherwise—have, since emancipation, left the plantation negro-houses, and acquired comfortable residences of their own, may be taken as prima facie evidence that they are industrious and saving. No man, contented with the mere necessities of life, will work, and save up money to buy a piece of land and erect a house, and pay the taxes to which he hereby subjects himself. I have been upwards of twenty years in Tobago, and I deny hat its peasantry are abandoned to slothful I assert, on the contrary, that a more ndustrious class does not exist in this worldit least, when working for themselves. to reason, either, for employers on estates to ind fault. In the face of our taxation, '8d.' day is not a very remunerative wage to laorers whose employers in Great Britain are omparatively untaxed for the produce which ney extract from Tobago. Better wages will rocure an increase of work. A minimum of rages naturally purchases a minimum in reirn, and prompts the peasantry to labor for nemselves rather than for their employers." The next is a report from Antigua, another he British West India islands. I read from lispatch from Governor Hamilton to the tht Honorable H. Labouchere. Governor milton says:

Although there has been a great diminution the value of tropical produce, and the labor the plantation cultivation has proved in vaous years unremunerative, yet, upon the role, the success of the cultivation, by free bor, of the valuable staple commodities of e West Indies, in well-peopled colonies, is monstrated by the results produced in this and, as well as in the neighboring island of irbados; and experience has sufficed to nvince the public mind that there is no sufient cause for despondency in the agriculal condition of the West Indian colonies." fere is another colony, not one of the West ies, but one in which the experiment of abolition of Slavery has been made—Mauri-16

This is what the Governor says, and in that, | tius. What I am about to read is taken from a report of Governor Higginson, dated May 13,

1856. He says:

"Our sugar crop, for the past year, reached one hundred and two thousand tons, and that now about being reaped promises to be still more abundant. Should the season continue as favorable as it has hitherto been, the coming crop may be estimated at not less than one hundred and twenty thousand tons-about double that exported in 1850; and as large tracts of cultivable land still lie unreclaimed, only awaiting the hand of the husbandman, provided nothing occurs to obstruct the steady influx of immigrant labor, it is hardly possible 'to estimate the limit of producing power at-tainable within another five years."

These are all official documents, sent to the House of Commons by the Governors of those islands. But I have a later and a more minute Last year, in 1858, a gentleman reauthority. siding in Boston addressed a series of queries to Governor Hincks, Governor of the five Windward Islands; and I will read you an extract

from his answer:

"GOVERNMENT HOUSE, BARBADOS, January 9, 1858.

"MY DEAR SIR: I willingly comply with your request, that I should communicate to you the opinions which I have formed as to the results of the abolition of Slavery in the British West Indies, as well in their bearing on the civilization of the emancipated classes, as on the general prosperity of the colonies. I do this the more readily, because, being thoroughly persuaded that most erroneous opinions on this important subject prevail general-'ly, both in Europe and America, I think that 'every possible assistance should be given to those who take the trouble to inquire into the actual condition of these colonies.

"The errors to which I shall more especially 'advert are, first, the assertion that slave labor is cheaper than free. Secondly, the charge of habitual indolence advanced against the creoles of African descent, which has led, it ' is alleged, to the abandonment of the sugar estates, and the consequent ruin of the propri-

"On the first point, namely, the comparative cost of free and slave labor, I believe that little if any difference of opinion prevails among 'the proprietors of this island. It is, however, much to be regretted that the West Indian planters have more than once, since the period of emancipation, made formal complaints to the Imperial Parliament, founded on their 'inability to compete in the production of ' sugar by free labor with the slave labor of for-

'eign countries.
"The object of the complainants doubtless was to obtain a continuance of the protective duties then levied upon foreign sugars. I can, however, state with confidence, that many who at one time held the opinion that the increased ' production of sugar in Cuba was to be attrib-'uted to the cheapness of slave labor, have long since discovered their error. For my own part, I entertain no doubt that the productiveness of Cuba is to be mainly attributed to its rich virgin soil, on which rationing can be carried on for many years with little labor. Land in that island is abundant and cheap, and labor is in great demand for clearing and preparing it for cultivation."

"As to the relative cost of slave and free labor in this colony, I can supply you with facts, in which the most implicit reliance may ' be placed. They have been furnished to me by the proprietor of an estate containing three 'hundred acres of land, and situated at a distance of about twelve miles from the shipping port. The estate referred to produced during Slavery equal on an average to one hundred and ' forty hogsheads of sugar of the present weight, and required two hundred and thirty slaves. It is now worked by ninety free laborerssixty adults and thirty under sixteen years of Its average product during the last seven years has been one hundred and ninetyfour hogsheads."

In Slavery, 230 slaves produced 140 hogsheads, and after emancipation 90 free laborers

produced 194 hogsheads. Again:

"The average of pounds of sugar to each laborer during Slavery was 1,043 pounds, and, during Freedom, 3,664 pounds. To estimate the cost of slave labor, the value of 230 slaves must be ascertained," &c.

Another extract from the same letter.

says:
"I shall now proceed to the consideration of the complaint against the creoles of African descent, that they are indolent, and that they have abandoned the sugar plantations. This is a subject involved in much greater difficulty than the one on which I have already treated. I admit that the planters generally, in several of the British colonies, would vehemently maintain the correctness of the charge. I am, however, bound to affirm that, after a most patient investigation, I have been unable to arrive at such a conclusion. There is no to arrive at such a conclusion. There is no doubt that the condition of the laboring classes ought to be worse in Barbados than in any of the other colonies. In Barbados, land is exorbitantly dear, being worth, in small quantities, from four to six hundred dollars Wages are from ten pence to one per acre. shilling per day, as I have already stated. There are only five working days in the week, except during crop time. With all these disadvantages, the small proprietors in this island, 'holding less than five acres of land, increased, in sixteen years, from about eleven hundred to three thousand five hundred and thirty-seven. I doubt much whether such a proof of indus-'trious habits could be furnished with regard to a similar class of laborers in any other country in the world. I adduce the above re-

markable fact to prove that in this island there has been no want of industry on the part o the creoles of African descent. I think that in those colonies in which the sugar estate have been partially abandoned, we must lool to other causes than the indolence of the la 'borers.'

Again: "With regard to the condition of the Africa race, I can answer your queries with unmixe satisfaction, and with the conviction that ther will be little, if any, difference of opinio among well-informed persons on that subjec The improvement which has taken place i the religious condition of the people of a classes, and the progress of education, is quit equal to what could reasonably have bee expected. The creoles are advancing rapid in civilization."

That is the opinion of Governor Hinck dated this year, of the state of things in this island of Barbados. I now wish to show yo that, in other respects than the mere physic one, this experiment has not been a failure. read from a letter from the Bishop of Barbado He gives some other statistics. The Bishe

"The following is a comparative view of o ' church schools in Barbados at the dates me

'tioned:

HOHOU	~ .	m 17 m			717~+	ional		
	•	Daily P	rım	eary of			•	
Year.					Sch	nools.	Sch	ola
1825			-	-		8	,	6
1834			-		- 2	7]	1,5
1841		**	_	-	- 4	9		3,9
1857					- 7	0	(3,1
1001		Daila	D	ame o	r Infe	ant.		
1095		Dang	-	-		emporer		0.00
1825						2		2
1834	**	-	••	′ -	•			7
1841		-	-	-	41	2		
1857		-	-	-	- 1	.4		1,1
	in.	on ano	thei	r poin	t:			-
1150	,,,	011 0120		Υ	1825.	1834.	1841.	1
Number	of	clergy in 1	Barb	ados -	15	29	33	4
Churche	as ar	nd chapels	3		- 14	23	35	
Amount	of	church ac	com	moda-	r 000	7 750	22,500	92
tion			•		5,000	1,100	44,000	20

So, sir, when tried both by the standard progress in schools and in churches, the exp ment of emancipation in the West Indies d not seem to be so disastrous as the Sens would suppose. A letter from the Hon. B. Young, written to the same gentleman in swer to the same letter, dated January 13, 18

says:
"The religious condition of the people a erally has greatly improved since the al tion of Slavery; and, undoubtedly, emanc tion has removed many of the obstacles existed during Slavery, and stood in the p way of the slave in improving his relig condition."

In regard to education, he says:

"Greatly improved, but by no means to 'extent desired. Popular feeling is now pr ing the enlargement of education to all e 'es, and the Legislature of Barbados is quite | willing to carry it out to the extent desired, by increasing the annual grant towards education."

In respect to crime, he says:

"I do not think there is more crime now than in the days of Slavery; because the owner, during Slavery, had the power to pun-ish all crime short of capital offence. Now, 'all crime is punished by the courts, and thus 'a wide publicity is given to it."

In answer to the question, "Are the estates, generally speaking, better cultivated under free labor than they were under slave?" he says:

"In Barbados, the estates are, generally ' speaking, better cultivated with free labor than 'they were under the slave labor.

"I believe it is generally admitted that the 'cost of production is generally less under a

' free-labor system.

"The voice of one and all pronounces it to be * * * There can be no ques-'a blessing. ' tion that emancipation has worked most beneficially for the emancipated classes.

"The condition of the poor whites in Bar-'bados is lamentably deteriorated, but from ' causes quite apart and distinct from emanci-

pation.'

Now, sir, I desire to call the attention of the Senate to the island of Jamaica, in which it is said, I believe, that the most disastrous consequences have ensued from this experiment of emancipation. The Governor, in writing from Jamaica, says:

"The returns from the several jails continue 'to testify to the comfortable condition and peaceful disposition of the lower orders."

Instead of Jamaica being about to be abandoned as hopelessly given over to barbarism,

the Governor says:

"Such a revival is distinctly traceable in 'these returns; the comparison for the last 'four years standing as under, after correc-tion of an error into which I was last year betrayed by the total omission from the custom-house tables, which were very carelessly prepared by the then Comptroller of Navigation Laws, of the value of British goods imported:

				imports.	Exports.
1852	•	-	-	£837,894	£927,377
4 1853	-	-	-	864,094	$837,\!276$
1854	-	-	-	926,166	932,316
1855	•	-	•	899,508	1,009,325 "

Again he says:

"Last year I could sum up my report with 'no greater amount of encouragement than an assurance that the position of the colony had grown no worse, and that its prospects were by no means desperate; this year, I am fairly entitled to found on the facts and figures I ' have passed in review much more sanguine inferences; and though difficulties and draw-'backs still remain to be encountered, I feel

' far more confident of the ultimate restoration

of prosperity than I ever did before."

So, sir, even in Jamaica, affairs are not quite so hopeless as the Senator from Louisiana supposes; and I hope that he will come to the conclusion that the experiment of emancipation in those British West India islands has not been so utter a failure as he had been led to imagine. The people, instead of working upon the plantations, have become land-owners, and in sixteen years the small land-owners, holding small estates of five acres and upwards, have increased more than three hundred per cent. I am glad that the honorable Senator has based the argument for the annexation of Cuba upon what he says is the conviction that compulsory labor is absolutely necessary to the maintenance of that island. He argues that compulsory labor can only be maintained there, first, by the introduction of the coolie trade, which he condemns in no measured terms; by the apprenticeship, to which he is equally opposed; and by the foreign slave trade, which, I suppose, from the tenor of his remarks, does not meet his approbation. He would have it left for us to infer that the only alternative by which this demand for compulsory labor by which the agriculture of Cuba is to be maintained is, that these slaves are to come from the United States of America; that when Cuba is annexed to us, the foreign slave trade being cut off, the necessities of compulsory labor still continuing, the United States of America must then take the place and occupy the position which the coast of Africa now occupies, and instead of foreign slavers going from Cuba to the coast of Africa to get their cargo of human muscles with which to carry on the agricultural labor of Cuba, that they are to come to the United States; and that this great demand for compulsory labor is to be supplied by annexation. That I understand to be the argument.

The President of the United States puts it on the ground, also, that it will tend to the abolition of the foreign slave trade. Now, sir, I confess that I am not entirely clear in my convictions of the propriety of this suggession from the present Executive. I think, before it becomes us to annex foreign territories to our possessions for the purpose of putting down the African slave trade, that we see to it that we put it down in the possessions we have now got. I believe, from the commencement of the Government down to the present day, it has never transpired that any man has been convicted, in any of your Federal courts in any of the States of this Union, for being engaged in the foreign slave trade. I may be mistaken in that, but I think I am not. The Federal judiciary is utterly powerless, to-day, if newspapers be relied upon, for the enforcement of the laws against the slave trade in the States of The doctrine has been openly this Union. avowed within a few days, within a short distance of where I stand, that this foreign slave

trade must be reopened, to enable the Southern States to contend with that species of labor against the emigration which is coming from Europe into the Northern and Eastern States.

Now, sir, it is very well for the President to be desirous to put down the foreign slave trade, but I would commend to the President, and to those gentlemen who desire to put down the foreign slave trade by annexing Cuba, this simple admonition of one of the Apostles, I believe it was Paul, who, in writing to his breth-ren, says: "When thou art converted, strength-en thy brethren." That saying would seem to imply that it is not very well for us to begin to strengthen anybody else, until we have converted ourselves. I would say to the President of the United States, and I would say to those gentlemen who are desirous of the annexation of Cuba for the purpose of putting down the for-eign slave trade, that they had better begin by putting it down at home. They had better see that the Federal judiciary and the Federal laws are able to deal with this great sin and this great crime upon our own coasts, before we go abroad beyond the seas, for the purpose of annexing Cuba to put it down there. It will be time enough for us to enter upon a crusade for the abolition of the foreign slave trade in Cuba, when we have abolished it upon our own shores and in our own country.

Mr. BENJAMIN. Will the Senator from New Hampshire permit me to ask him a ques-

tion?

Mr. HALE. Yes, sir.

Mr. BENJAMIN. I will ask the Senator, who is very urgent that the Federal judiciary shall put down the African slave trade with the United States, if he knows of a solitary instance in which a solitary African slave has been introduced into the United States except the recent case of the Wanderer, which the Federal offi-

cers are now pursuing? Mr. HALE. I do not know, because I never visited any further south than Virginia; my opinion is derived from papers altogether. Upon that subject I have no particular information, but I have a very decided conviction. do not know that there has been any other; but the case of the Wanderer is enough. That may be the first one that has been discovered and brought out palpably; but is the Senator so ignorant of what has transpired in the city of New York, if we are to believe the papers and the accounts that come from there, that he means to say that vessels are not fitted out, and have not been for years, in the city of New York, for the foreign slave trade?

Mr. BENJAMIN. I will state to the Senator, with great pleasure and with entire candor, that I believe a great many vessels are fitted out in the United States for the purpose of carrying on the slave trade between the coast of Africa and Cuba—not one, to my knowledge, nor do I believe one ever has existed, except

the solitary case of the Wanderer, for carrying on the slave trade with the United States.

Mr. HALE. Well, sir, that is all I desired The witness has answered everything I wanted him to answer. I am satisfied.

Mr. BENJAMIN. So am I.

Mr. HALE. So am I; and now I will proceed to argue the case. The Senator has not doubt that a great number of vessels are fitted out in the city of New York for the purpose of engaging in the foreign slave trade between the coast of Africa and Cuba, in which they violate the laws of the land and our treaty stip ulations; and your Federal power has been incompetent to arrest that business in the city of New York. If it cannot take care of the slave trade in the city of New York, I think it will be very difficult to do it in Cuba.

But, Mr. President, I will deal candidly with

But, Mr. President, I will deal candidly with this subject, and with the authors of this proposition. I do not believe that this measure is pushed at this time with the expectation, the remotest expectation, that Cuba is to be annexed by it. I think I hold in my hand a paragraph from a newspaper which gives the true clue to this whole movement, and I will read it. It is taken from the Carolina Spartan,

dated February 3, 1859:

"Purchase of Cuba.—In both Houses of Congress, propositions are pending to appropriate and place at the disposal of the President \$30,000,000, to be used in negotiations for the purchase of Cuba. The report of the committee, in the Senate, by Mr. SLIDELL, occupied one hour in reading. On the subject of the acquisition, the Washington correspondent of the Charleston Mercury holds this lan-

guage

'is the absorbing topic of conversation. It is evident Mr. Buchanan has purposely reserved this question for political capital, and intends to make it the lever by which to raise the Democratic party out of "the slough of despond" into which the Abolitionists had thrust it. It is a subject peculiarly attractive to the people of the North, who, while they are fondly devoted to free negroes, are still more ardent in their love of "free sugar," and will go for Cuba without Slavery. If anything in the future may be predicted from the present complexion of affairs, "Cuba" is to be the Democratic war-cry in the Presidential campaign of 1860, and is the only thing which is likely to give us a Democratic 'President.'"

I do not believe it will do that, either. [Laughter.] When I read an extract from Governor Hincks's letter, the honorable Senator from Louisiana was curious to know what paper it was published in, as if a document coming from the hands of an individual could be affected in its character for veracity, by that of a paper in which it was printed. It was printed in the National Era; but this that I

eak of, this Democratic war-cry article, has abolition taint about it. It comes from ath Carolina, from the Charleston Mercury, ich I understand to be the alkoran of the cty. In that article it is said that the war-cry the next Presidential campaign is to be ba; and that, if anything in this world can the Democracy out of the slough into which has been thrust, this will do it. I think the esident overrates altogether the power of ba, in that respect. He does not know what ob it would be to do that. General Taylor me into the Presidency an honest man, and entertained the idea that he was going to ng the Government back to its original pu-Providence took him from the evil that s to come. It broke the old man down. He I not know what a job it was to bring this vernment back to its original purity. I have ard nothing in modern times equal to it in surdity, except this attempt to lift the Demoitic party out of the slough of despond, in 60. I have read the President's arguments; ey are not new. When I read this report, I uld not but be struck with the similarity of ne of the arguments to those that were used Congress on a former occasion, some fifteen ars ago. I allude to the annexation of Texas. Mr. President, you have forgotten, I venture say, the arguments by which that measure s carried through Congress. I had almost gotten them myself; but I have been refreshg myself on that subject. I have lately read letter published by a distinguished Senator m Mississippi—he is not one now, but was e in former days-in which he undertakes to gue the annexation of Texas; and I will tell u what the grounds upon which he put it re, and I commend that letter to gentlemen; ey should go and read it. In the first place, said it was a great abolition measure, and ould tend to the rapid extinction of Slavery in e United States. Said Mr. Walker, in a letaddressed to the citizens of Carrollton, I ink it was, in Kentucky, if Texas should be annexed—why do not Senators put that re on Cuba ?-Slavery would be abolished in Delvare in ten years, in Maryland in twenty, and would have been very much lessened and deeased in Virginia. Texas was to be the out-by which Slavery, by the law of political avitation, was to slide off through Texas into entral America, where there was no prejudice ainst color; and there the colored man was be elevated, and was to create a new condion for himself, such as the blacks have actired in the West Indies, according to the reort of the Governor. That was one of the reans then given for the annexation of Texas; id your Northern Democracy, which at that, ne—I will not speak of the present; I hope ey have improved-were ready to do anything ou asked, if you only gave them something by hich, if they could not deceive others, they ight themselves, caught it up, and the Demo- of it, unless you maintain a navy to do it? Mr.

cratic party in my own State resolved that they were in favor of the annexation of Texas, because it would add more free than slave States to the Union, and they had the authority of Mr. Walker for it. He said it would entirely abolish Slavery in Delaware in ten years, finish it in Maryland in twenty, and make awful inroads in Virginia. Well, sir, [Mr. Mason in the chair,] you can state how much it has done in Virginia on that subject.

There was another argument, and I almost stagger credulity when I repeat it, but I find it all in Mr. Walker's letter. It was necessary to preserve the tariff. Yes, sir, the appeal was made to the manufacturers of the New England and Middle States, that the annexation of Texas was necessary to preserve the tariff. They found out how efficacious it was in that respect. That problem was demonstrated sooner than will be the other one, of how long it will take to abol-

ish Slavery in Virginia.

The third argument used by Mr. Walker for the annexation of Texas was, that it was necessary in a military point of view; and what do you suppose that military point of view was? That it was necessary to defend New Orleans, and to preserve the Union. Mr. Walker said, if Texas were not annexed, there would be a Southern Confederacy, the Union would be dissolved, New Orleans would be at the mercy of any foe that would come to take it. Those were the arguments. The annexation of Texas was to prepare for the extinction of Slavery, it was necessary to preserve the tariff, to protect New Orleans, and cover the land with blessings. We got it. Another prediction Walker ventured upon to manufacturers was this: if Texas should be reannexed to the United States, in ten years there was to be more cotton manufactured in the United States than there was in Great Britain. That was another of the consequences to flow from its annexation.

Well, sir, the Democratic party listened to these arguments. I did not, and I got turned out of doors for not listening. The measure was consummated, and consummated, too, by the votes of the Northern Democracy; and now they have history left to tell them how true, how specious, or how just, were the arguments by which it was advocated. There is to be the same cry always, that it is necessary in a military point of view. Why is Cuba so necessary in a military point of view? What can Cuba do towards protecting the mouth of the Mississippi? I believe the passage on the east side of the island to the capes of Florida is something like eighty miles. The fleets of the world could go in there without the fortifications on either side molesting them. On the western side, from Cuba to Yucatan, I think the channel is still wider-more than eighty miles across. How are you to protect and command the Gulf of Mexico by owning this island of Cuba, which has a channel eighty miles wide on each side

Jefferson, when he, in the quotation which is | made by the committee, undertook to recommend receiving Cuba into our Union, he said we should immediately erect a column on the southernmost limits of Cuba, and inscribe on it that it was to be the ne plus ultra to us in that direction—seeming to admit, that if we took Cuba, we were going as far as it could possibly be justifiable to go on any condition, and that we were to erect a monument when we got to Cuba, to proclaim to all the world, through all time, that our avarice and our lust for dominion and for empire were satiated, at least in that direction, and that we were to go no further. But there was another part of Mr. Jefferson's

opinion, in which he says:

"We should then have only to include the ' North in our Confederacy; which would be, of course, in the first war; and we should have ' such an empire for Liberty as she has never

surveyed since the creation."

Well, sir, I have had occasion, more than once or twice, to speak about this north side of the Union. Mr. Jefferson thought it necessary to throw that in, when he suggested the acquisition of Cuba. He said that, after we had got Cuba, all we should want was to take in the North. I believe, when the reannexation of Texas was proposed, that the reannexation of Oregon was thrown in as a sop for the North. Well, sir, we reannexed Texas; but what became of Oregon? There is a North to this country, and, if we are to have annexation, why in the name of geographical necessities do we not ever look north? Here we have a great empire bounding us on the north from the Atlantic to the Pacific. We have the most dense part of our population lying within cannon shot of the fortifications and the vessels of the most powerful nation on earth. Why, sir, during the campaign of 1848, the Democratic candidate for the Presidency slept right under British guns. There was only a river that divided the city in which he dwelt from the great force of the British Empire. Well, sir, we have never turned our thoughts that way. What is the Gentlemen will not admit that the reason we look to Cuba, and neglect Canada, is that Spain is feeble, and Britain strong. They will not admit that, though I very much fear that the world will suspect it.

Now, I ask gentlemen, if there is a geographical necessity for our expansion, let us go north. We have gone south long enough; we have taken Florida, we have bought Louisiana, we have re-annexed Texas, and we have divided Mexico; but on the north our history has been directly the reverse. When there is the greatest and most powerful nation on earth owning rivers which run into the very centre of our continent, penetrating into some of the most densely-settled and wealthy parts of our country, why is it that the views of our statesmen, who give direction to our energies, and administer to this great national taste for expansion, have never turned they went to the bottom. Subsequently, so

their eyes north? Why is it that, when we come to the north side of the continent, instead annexing, we have been cutting off? If outlet of the Mississippi was so necessary to great West fifty years ago, why is it that outlet to the St. Lawrence is not necessar us now? The commerce that comes of those lakes now is vastly, immeasurably, g er than the commerce of the West when purchased Louisiana, so many years ago. sir; that is not the course of things; that is the direction which things take. The nec ties of our annexation and our expansion always in one direction, and it so happens that is always and exactly in that direct where a particular local institution exists prevails. There it is that we seek and den and require expansion.

I think I do no injustice to the truth of tory when I say, that if Slavery were to be a ished in Cuba, and the experiment which been tried in the British West India islands tried in the Spanish islands, and the experir should succeed beyond the most ardent exp ations of the most warm-hearted philan pist, if the chain should be stricken from bondman, I believe the same Administra which to-day seeks Cuba, at an expense \$100,000,000, would give \$100,000,000 rathan take it. They would not have it. Not expects that this bill will accomplish the fossed chiest. fessed object. Spain, in the most solemn r ner in which she could speak, in the most phatic language in which she could give u ance to her feelings on this subject, has you that, so far from being willing to sel far from being willing to negotiate, she will upon the very proposition as offensive, and has the right to do so. But, whether she the right to do so or not, if such be the fac such be the temper and such the dispositio Spain, that she looks upon the proposition offensive, then I say, if you make the presition, instead of hastening, you will retard consummation of this measure.

The true theory, Mr. President, of all thi given in this South Carolina paper. The nexation of Cuba is to be the great war-cr the Democratic party in the coming campa That is to be the issue with which they are go before the people. That is to be the extr dinary expedient that is to be resorted to in coming campaign, in order to deceive, dela and mislead, the people of this country. Si is one of the omens of the time, and it is on the indications of the time, from which I t courage. This party, that misrules the counthat squanders our Treasury, that in times I reduced us to bankruptcy, feels that it is i situation in which an appeal to the popular telligence cannot command the popular app seheme was to be devised, and they unook to save the Union, and they saved the By the compromise of 1852, they unook to save themselves, and they did. Well, these things have run out; saving the on will not do any longer. Texas is aned, and some extraordinary means and sures must be adopted, to throw into the tical campaign a watchword upon which changes are to be rung; and that, in the sent instance, is the acquisition of Cuba. man in his senses supposes that we can get Every man, who looks at it dispassionately calmly, is obliged, as I believe, upon his science, to say that the passage of this bill postpone and retard, instead of accelera-

or myself, I should be willing to take Cu-I should be willing that this country might and; I have no objection to its expansion efinitely; but I desire that it may be done just, honorable, honest, and patriotic prines. I want no deception, and I want no abug about it. I want nothing of this sort own into the political canvass for a watchd, with which to appeal to popular prejue and popular clamor, when the real object ; is to be attained is not the one which aprs palpably upon the face of the bill. I ase that from the fact, that since this bill been talked of, and before it was introed, we received the most positive assuranthat we could not effect that which the bill

poses to effect. desire to say, further, that while I am willthat the country should expand, and desire it should expand, I am not willing that it I expand continually in one direction, and the annexation of countries in which the inition of American Slavery exists. I desire to interfere with the just rights of any State his Union in regard to any institution she see fit to keep up and maintain. I never e; I am willing that they may have it. I e said it before, and I say it now. If it is lessing, let them bless themselves with it; is a curse, let them stagger under it; but n not willing to extend the boundaries of country for the express purpose of bringing this Confederacy territories, islands, and tes, whose great and controlling merit, movthe measure, is that they are slave States; e territories, and slave islands.

he Senator from Georgia [Mr. Toombs] s that he is willing to leave the question of t is to be the character of the population of se islands, or these countries annexed, to the that the people themselves may make; and hought that it was a great piece of magnany in him, coming from the "weaker sec," to be willing to yield so much. Sir, I
stand irony as well as anybody; but I think, n a Southern gentleman gets up here and s about coming from the weaker section of up this scheme, and tells you what we look at. country, it is putting it on a little too strong; It is Cuba; it is inevitable; and we will declare

it is a trope, a figure, that I think is a little too heavy for a flight of rhetoric. The weaker portion of the Union! I am not going into the history of that section, or of that portion of the Union, nor of this weak system. Suffice it to say, that united, uniting one section of the country as one man, swallowing up all divisions and all parties and all sects, presenting a compact, impervious front, it dispenses the patronage of this nation, and by that means divides and cuts up and conquers the North. That has been its history in times past. Of the future, I do not propose to speak. When I hear a gentleman from that section talk about coming from the weaker portion of the Union, I confess I feel as if he was doing anything else than addressing a sober, serious, and solemn argument to the Senate. No, sir; it is the interest, the great interest, that makes war and makes peace, buys Territories and sells them, puts up Presidents and pulls them down.

What killed Martin Van Buren? prived him of the nomination of the Democratic party in 1844? Why, he had demonstrated that it was constitutional to take Texas; but he said, that while Texas was in a state of open war with Mexico, he did not think it would be exactly right to annex her, and that we could not do it consistently with our treaty obligations. Well, sir, the history of Mr. Van Buren is well known. He stood no chance after that. I want to show the manner in which the argument was met. The argument was met in this wise: When we annex Texas, although she is at war with Mexico, we are at peace with Mexico; and if we take Texas, she will be a part of us, and at peace with Mexico; and then, if war ensues, Mexico will have to begin it, because the United States and Mexico are at peace. We had a war with Mexico, and the great burden of the message of Mr. Polk, after the war commenced, was to satisfy us that war commenced by the act of Mexico. I suppose if we were to follow out the suggestion made by the honorable Senator from Louisiana, which looks like anything but purchase, and were to take Cuba by force, and Spain should undertake to resent it, it would be said the war commenced by the act of Spain.

In the report—no, I think it was in the speech of the honorable Senator from Louisiana—it is evident he looks beyond this bill. He understands its spirit. He is not confined to the letter. He knows that the letter is a mere humbug; there is nothing in it; he looks be-yond it; and he says that we must say to Spain, that we will extend the same aid to Cuba, when she undertakes to assert her independence, that France extended to us in our great revolutionary struggle. I thank the honorable Senator for the mauliness and the candor with which he strips off the paltry disguises with which this poor bill undertakes to dress

to the Cubans, "go on, declare yourselves independent; if you can achieve your independence, we will admit you into the Confederacy; and if you cannot, we will help you." That is the meaning of it. In one of the dispatches of one of our Secretaries, Marcy or Buchanan, or some of that latter-day class of politicians, they undertake to say that the United States will never seize Cuba while in possession of Spain, and will never allow anybody else to have the They admit, and the whole argument is based on the assumption, that Spain is too weak to hold on to Cuba. They are willing that she shall have it, if she could hold it; but she cannot, and we will not let her call upon anybody else to help her; and when the ripe apple falls, we will take it. That is the morality of the whole thing.

Now, sir, let me characterize the measure as it presents itself to my mind. It is a gigantic scheme of national rapine. It looks to nothing else. This bill, if it has any effect, can only have the effect to debauch and corrupt the public mind and the public morals. It is to whet that keen appetite which, in years past, has been, in violation of our laws and our treaty obligations, fitting out expeditions to go and seize upon Cuba. It is a great, gigantic appeal to our national avarice, to our lust of power, of conquest, of aggression, by all means and by every means to seize upon Cuba. we do not in the letter countenance these things, in its spirit, and in its ultimate effect, it has that meaning, and nothing else. The honorable Senator from Louisiana so treats it, and so I treat it. It is an attempt to corrupt the public morals; to call upon all that is base and selfish, and avaricious and grasping, in our national character, and urging them to a great tirade against our ancient ally, which alone of the nations of Europe, from the first day of our national existence to the present time, has preserved relations of amity, of peace, and of concord, uninterrupted with us.

When the message of the President was read, and we were told that our relations with Spain were not what they should be, and he regretted it, I thought I would look some years back, and see some of the messages that for a few years past had been submitted to Congress, and see how long this dissatisfactory state of things had When I turned to the messages of the immediate predecessor of the President—President Pierce—and read what he had stated to us upon our relations with Spain, I found that President Pierce congratulated the country—upon what? That Spain had ceased her aggressions upon us? That Spain had taken off her hand from molesting our commerce and interfering with our rights in the Mediterranean? No, sir; but President Pierce congratulated the country that he was enabled to announce to them that no lawless bands had fitted out expeditions within our shores during

the past year, and made these unauthor

expeditions against Spain.

Sir, when the history of the connection, ar the manner in which the treaties between S and the United States have been observed, be considered, I tell you that, in the sca national honor, and in the observance of tr stipulations, and of a jealous regard of nati fame, we shall have nothing to boast of in comparison. It will be found that our ag sions upon Spain—some of them national, s of them private—have been ten-fold more anything we have had occasion to complain from Cuba. You will find that we have in time of profound peace, our armies into national provinces of Spain, and we have treaty, made liberal stipulations for the ment of the damages, which were unauthor. which nothing in the treaties required at There is pending upon your caler to-day, a private bill reported by my honor colleague from the Committee on Claims which it will appear that we are to-day inde to the assignees of claimants for the int upon the damages that we thus inflicted t Spain, or Spanish subjects, some forty yago. Those claims are yet unadjusted and There may have been, and doub paid. have been, many things that we have he right to complain of in the conduct of the thorities of Cuba, in regard to some of merchantmen; but I believe that, in regar the manner in which we have been treated Spain, when these matters have been bro home to the cognizance of the Spanish Gov ment, we have no right to complain. Tho I do not sympathize with the chairman of Committee on Foreign Relations in the s ment which he makes, yet the chairman of Committee on Foreign Relations has state you more than once, this session, that S does complain, and rightfully complains, o conduct of this nation, in not making the r ration to her which is provided in a bill w he introduced. I do not believe it. I do believe that Spain has any such claim; when our National Executive tells her she when the chairman of the Committee on eign Relations tells her she has, just cl against this Government in that behalf, we tainly cannot complain of her if she assu that they are so.

Looking upon this measure in that lig am opposed to it—utterly, totally, and ent opposed to it. I want to hold out no ind ments to our people, or any part of them, t anything that may have a tendency to shall disturb, in the remotest degree, the hold w Spain has upon this island. Necessity! necessity is a stern law; and if it be so ster ask the ministering priests of Necessity, that rifice at her altar, to find some other terri some other State, some other island, than of a weak nation, with which we are at pe to satisfy this cormorant appetite of poli and geographical necessity. I would that this | infidelity; he may believe or disbelieve what country might expand and cover the continent; I would that the blessings of free government might be coextensive with the continent; I would that the American eagle, planting himself on the dome of this Capitol, might plume ais wings for a flight that should cover the coninent; but I would let him be the precursor, the ensign, of a higher civilization than that of the nations he has known. I would let our advent be heralded by no clanking of slave chains; out I would that the genius of our Republic, when it spreads itself over the continent, should earry with it the light of civilization, of Christianity, and of humanity. I would that it might be the precursor of a higher and of a holier mission than spreading this institution of domestic Slavery. It is time that we meet this question. It is idle to say it is a sectional or a local one. The reproach of introducing it cannot be charged apon me, nor upon those who act with me. When I see the whole energies of the land, the whole energies of the Government, devoted to one end, one aim, and one purpose, and that ourpose subservient to the interest, the protecion, the extension, and the perpetuity, of this nstitution, I have a right to call upon the peoble to pause, to hesitate, to strip off disguises, and look at measures as they really are.

Now, sir, without preparation, and without nuch coherence, I have delivered to you some of the objections which I entertain to this neasure. I do not confine myself to the time, for the mode, nor the manner, of doing it. m against the thing itself. It is not an obection to me that you want to do it now. I do not believe it is a ripe apple; and if it is a ripe pple, I believe that it is an apple of which the poet sung, of which it was said the mortal taste rought death into the world, and all our woes. believe that the history of Spanish civilization ipon this continent is not such as to make us ery ambitious and very desirous of incorporaing a whole State of that class of population nto our midst. Though I would extend reigious liberty to everybody, I would throw no mpediments in the way of any man's faith or

he pleases, yet I have a right, and it is my duty as a member of the Senate, to look at the question of the religious faith of a whole people, if I believe that that faith is calculated to exert an influence adverse to the best interests of our country. Sir, I believe that a republican government can only be maintained, and successfully maintained, on the principle of Protestant liberty. While I would give the fullest latitude to every man to believe or disbelieve, to worship or not, as he pleases, I have the right, and I cannot rightfully subject myself to the imputation of injustice and unfairness, to look into that question. When it is proposed to add a whole people, if I think that the religious faith they have amongst them is so believed and so practiced and so established among them that it will exert a deleterious influence, I will not, by my consent, receive them into our midst.

Sir, it is that religion that, to-day, has prevented in a great degree the success of institutions of free government in Mexico. It is adverse to what I believe is essentially necessary to our growth, our progress, and our highest interests; and that is, Protestant liberty. Let me tell you, sir, and I think I will not be controverted when I make the assertion, that the history of modern times, the history of civil liberty, is the history of Protestant liberty; and the struggle of civil liberty has been the strug-gle of Protestant liberty. The genius of a free Government requires the genius of a Protestant religion. I am willing that the Cubans shall have any faith they please. I am willing, when they come here, they shall profess any faith they please; but when the proposition is made to annex them, I claim the right, in looking at the question in all its aspects, to look at it in that.

Then, sir, from every point in which I can look at this question, I do not consider the acquisition of Cuba desirable; and I do not believe that, at the present time, even if it were desirable, the measure which is proposed is one likely to effect it.

